

# The Hierarchical Construction of a Universal Qualitative Belief Space

## Online Appendix

Satoshi Fukuda\*

September 5, 2025

Appendix B briefly introduces the concepts regarding ordinal and cardinal numbers that are used in the main text.<sup>1</sup>

## B Ordinal and Cardinal Numbers

The main text of the paper (i.e., Definitions 7 and 8) explicitly considers transfinite levels of beliefs. Each state in the universal belief space induces the first-order beliefs about  $S$ , the second-order beliefs, and so on, up to a pre-determined “ordinal level  $\bar{\kappa}$ ,” beyond the least infinite ordinal number  $\varpi = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ .<sup>2</sup> Moreover, the proof method of transfinite induction and the notion of cardinal numbers are based on ordinal numbers. Below, I formally define ordinal numbers, the principle of transfinite induction, and cardinal numbers.

*Ordinal Numbers.* Ordinal numbers are meant to generalize the non-negative integers, and the relation “ $<$ ” (less than) on the non-negative integers is generalized to the set membership relation “ $\in$ .”<sup>3</sup> Formally, an *ordinal number* (an ordinal, for short)  $\alpha$  is a set with the following three properties:

1. The set-membership relation “ $\in$ ” is a (strict) total order in  $\alpha$ : for any two (distinct) elements  $\beta \in \alpha$  and  $\gamma \in \alpha$  with  $\beta \neq \gamma$ , either  $\beta \in \gamma$  or  $\gamma \in \beta$ .
2. The relation “ $\in$ ” is transitive in  $\alpha$ : if  $\beta \in \alpha$  and  $\gamma \in \beta$  then  $\gamma \in \alpha$ .<sup>4</sup>
3. Any non-empty subset  $A$  of  $\alpha$  has a least element with respect to “ $\in$ .”<sup>5</sup>

---

\*Department of Economics, Leavey School of Business, Santa Clara University, Santa Clara, CA 95053, USA.

<sup>1</sup>Appendix B is not intended as a summary or overview of ordinal and cardinal numbers. For a textbook which covers the materials covered here, see, for instance, Hrbacek and Jech (1999).

<sup>2</sup>I use  $\varpi$  to denote the least infinite ordinal number instead of the standard notation  $\omega$ , to avoid the possible confusion coming from the clash of notation (with a state of the world  $\omega$ ). Note also that  $\varpi$  appears only in the Online Appendix.

<sup>3</sup>I use the terminology “non-negative integers” because natural numbers are meant as positive integers in the main text.

<sup>4</sup>In other words, any element  $\beta$  of the set  $\alpha$  is a subset of  $\alpha$  (i.e., if  $\beta \in \alpha$  then  $\beta \subseteq \alpha$ ).

<sup>5</sup>In other words, if  $A$  is a non-empty subset of  $\alpha$ , then there exists  $\gamma \in A$  such that  $\gamma \in \beta$  for all  $\beta \in A \setminus \{\gamma\}$ . Formally, such (strictly) totally-ordered set  $\langle \alpha, \in \rangle$  is called a *well-ordered set*.

For any ordinal numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , denote by  $\alpha < \beta$  if  $\alpha \in \beta$ . Also, denote by  $\alpha \leq \beta$  if  $\alpha < \beta$  or  $\alpha = \beta$ .

The empty set  $\emptyset$  is an ordinal number and is identified as  $0 := \emptyset$  (i.e., the non-negative integer 0, as an ordinal number, is set-theoretically identified as the empty set). The integer 1 is identified as an ordinal number  $1 := 0 \cup \{0\} (= \{0\} = \{\emptyset\})$ . The integer 2 is identified as an ordinal number  $2 := 1 \cup \{1\} (= \{0, 1\} = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\})$ . Given a non-negative integer  $n$  as an ordinal number, the non-negative integer  $n + 1$  is identified as an ordinal number  $n + 1 := n \cup \{n\} (= \{0, 1, \dots, n\})$ . Thus, we have finite ordinals  $0, 1, 2, \dots, n, n + 1, \dots$ . Then, one counts farther to define the least infinite ordinal as  $\omega = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . The next ordinal is  $\omega + 1 := \omega \cup \{\omega\}$ , and so forth indefinitely. Thus, one can enumerate ordinal numbers as:

$$\begin{aligned} &0, 1, 2, \dots, n, n + 1, \dots, \\ &\omega, \omega + 1, \omega + 2, \dots, \omega + n, \omega + (n + 1), \dots, \\ &\omega \cdot 2 (= \omega + \omega), \omega \cdot 2 + 1, \dots, \dots\dots\dots, \\ &\omega \cdot n, \omega \cdot n + 1, \dots, \dots\dots\dots, \\ &\omega^2 (= \omega \cdot \omega), \omega^2 + 1, \dots, \dots\dots\dots, \\ &\omega^n, \omega^n + 1, \dots, \dots\dots\dots, \\ &\omega^\omega, \omega^\omega + 1, \dots, \dots\dots\dots \end{aligned}$$

The enumeration lasts indefinitely. While these ordinals are all countable, once all the countable ordinals are enumerated, the next least ordinal is the least uncountable ordinal. The enumeration still continues.

*Successor and Limit Ordinals.* For any ordinal  $\alpha$ , the *successor* of  $\alpha$  is defined and denoted by  $\alpha + 1 := \alpha \cup \{\alpha\}$ . An ordinal  $\alpha$  is a *successor ordinal* if  $\alpha = \beta + 1$  for some ordinal  $\beta$ . An ordinal  $\alpha$  is a *limit ordinal* if it is not a successor ordinal. In the above example, any positive integer  $n$  is a successor ordinal, while  $\omega$  and  $\omega \cdot 2$  are a limit ordinal.

*Transfinite Induction.* Let  $S(\alpha)$  be a statement for each ordinal  $\alpha$ . If (i)  $S(0)$  is true and if (ii)  $S(\beta)$  is true for all  $\beta < \alpha$  implies that  $S(\alpha)$  is true, then  $S(\alpha)$  is true for all ordinal  $\alpha$ . In (ii), one can consider two cases for  $\alpha$ , when  $\alpha$  is a successor ordinal and when  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal.

*Cardinal Numbers.* Two sets  $A$  and  $B$  are defined to have the same cardinality if there is a bijection from  $A$  to  $B$ . Under the Axiom of Choice, cardinal numbers are sets with the following property: for any set  $A$ , there is a unique cardinal number having the same cardinality as  $A$ . With these in mind, a *cardinal number* (a cardinal, for short) is an ordinal number which does not have the same cardinality as any of its elements (recall that any of its elements itself is a smaller ordinal). By the Axiom

of Choice, it is well-known that any set  $A$  has the same cardinality as some cardinal number. The least infinite cardinal is denoted by  $\aleph_0$ . The least uncountable cardinal is denoted by  $\aleph_1$ .

*A Unique Identification of a Cardinal as an Ordinal.* Although an (infinite) cardinal number  $\kappa$  is an ordinal number, the cardinal number  $\kappa$  may be in a bijective relation with multiple ordinal numbers. For instance, there exists a bijection between ordinal numbers  $\varpi = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $\varpi + 1 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \varpi\}$ . To uniquely identify an (infinite) cardinal with the ordinal, call an ordinal  $\alpha$  an *initial ordinal* if, for any  $\beta \in \alpha$ , there does not exist a bijection between  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Under the Axiom of Choice, for any (infinite) cardinal  $\kappa$ , there exists a unique initial ordinal  $\bar{\kappa}$ . Thus, we uniquely identify the (infinite) cardinal  $\kappa$  as an ordinal number  $\bar{\kappa}$ . For example, if  $\kappa = \aleph_0$  then  $\bar{\kappa} = \varpi$ . Also, if  $\kappa = \aleph_1$  then  $\bar{\kappa}$  is the smallest uncountable ordinal.

*Successor Cardinals.* Under the Axiom of Choice, it is well-known that, for each cardinal  $\kappa$ , there is a unique least cardinal greater than  $\kappa$ . Denote by  $\kappa^+$  this cardinal and call it the *successor cardinal* (to  $\kappa$ ).

*Regular Cardinals.* Under the Axiom of Choice, an infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  is *regular* if, for any set  $A$  which is a union of less-than  $\kappa$ -many sets each of which has cardinality less than  $\kappa$ , the cardinality of  $A$  is less than  $\kappa$ : if  $A = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$  satisfies  $|I| < \kappa$  and  $|A_i| < \kappa$  for all  $i \in I$ , then  $|A| < \kappa$ .<sup>6</sup> The proof of Remark 3 in the main text uses this definition. An infinite cardinal is *singular* if it is not regular. As mentioned in Section 2.1, it is well-known that any successor infinite cardinal  $\kappa^+$  is regular (see also Hrbacek and Jech, 1999). Also,  $\aleph_0$  and  $\aleph_1$  are regular.<sup>7</sup>

## References for the Online Appendix

- [1] K. Hrbacek and T. Jech. Introduction to Set Theory. Third Edition. CRC Press, 1999.

---

<sup>6</sup>For the expert reader who knows the definition of the regularity of an infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  in terms of cofinality of  $\kappa$  (i.e., an infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  is regular if the cofinality of the infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  is  $\kappa$ ), the aforementioned definition is equivalent under the Axiom of Choice. This is because the *cofinality* of  $\kappa$  is characterized as the least cardinal  $\lambda$  such that  $\kappa$  is the cardinality of the union of  $\lambda$ -many sets of cardinality less than  $\kappa$  (see, e.g., Hrbacek and Jech, 1999). Thus, if  $|I| < \kappa$  then the union  $A = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$  satisfies  $|A| < \kappa$  as long as  $|A_i| < \kappa$  for all  $i \in I$ .

<sup>7</sup>Technically, for  $\aleph_0$ , a union of finitely many finite sets is a finite set. For  $\aleph_1$ , a union of countably many countable sets is a countable set (or,  $\aleph_1$  is indeed a successor cardinal).